

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,353		01/10/2002	John L. Dennis	14262	8195
25763	7590	04/23/2003			
DORSEY &			EXAMINER		
INTELLECT 50 SOUTH S		ROPERTY DEPAR' STREET	PASCUA, JES F		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	0
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{L}^{0}				
• •		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/044,353	DENNIS, JOHN L.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jes F. Pascua	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the communication of the com	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the solution of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11</u>	<u>March 2003</u> .					
2a)	nis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
cl	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
•	aim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicatio						
`	Of the above claim(s) 11-17 is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
·	aim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 Cia	aim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)🛭 The	specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2002 is/are	e: a)☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to	by the Examiner.				
А	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗌 The	proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.[Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2.[Certified copies of the priority document	its have been received in Applicat	ion No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bothe attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
		·					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/044,353

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-10, in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the second continuous arcuate channel (claim 8) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the language "arcuate channel" (claims 1 and 8) lacks antecedent basis in the terminology of the specification.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/044,353

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 7, "the exterior plastic layer" lacks antecedence.

In claim 7, "the interior metal foil layer" lack antecedence.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kramer et al.
- 8. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yoshida.
- 9. Claims 1, 2 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Arkins et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,353

Art Unit: 3727

As a note, Arkins et al. discloses the frangible piercing area being "arc-shaped".

The Examiner considers the arc-shape of Arkins et al. to read on applicant's claimed U shape and inherently defines a hinge.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kramer et al. or Arkins et al.

Kramer et al. and Arkins et al. each disclose the claimed invention except for the frangible piercing area being teardrop shaped. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different frangible piercing areas of Kramer et al. or Arkins et al. teardrop-shaped or whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/044,353

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 703-308-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on 703-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

JFP April 17, 2003